

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,576	04/02/2004	Leonard Paul	595-019-6	3807	
7590 01/31/2007 Melvin I. Stoltz			EXAMINER		
51 Cherry Street Milford, CT 06460			PADEN, CAROLYN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1761		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/31/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

3		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/817,57	6	PAUL ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Carolyn A.	Paden	1761	
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communicati ply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORT WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING time may be available under the provisions of 37 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical If or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ply within the set or extended period for reply will, be ceived by the Office later than three months after the office is a specified above.	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ation. y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be ting expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				i N	•
2a)	ponsive to communication(s) filed or action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  e this application is in condition for a get in accordance with the practice u	☐ This action is no allowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition o	f Claims				•
4) Clair 4a) C 5) Clair 6) Clair 7) Clair 8) Clair 8) Clair 10) The c Appl Repl	m(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applic of the above claim(s) is/are w m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election recaminer.  accepted or b)[ to the drawing(s) b correction is require	equirement.  objected to by the end in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	
,	•				
12) Ackn a) Al 1. 2. 3.	Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have beer uments have beer ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) )/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/817,576

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond (6,123,977) in view of Food Ingredient News.

Diamond discloses a pan spray containing grape seed oil and lecithin (see abstract). Flavoring is also contemplated in the product at example 5. The claims appear to differ from Diamond in the use of a lecithin that is deoiled and powdered. Food Ingredient News teaches the use of the applicants' lecithin, that is Precept 8140, which is heat resistant and has an HLB value that is within the range of the claims. It would have been obvious to prepare the pan spray of Diamond with the lecithin or Precept of Food Ingredient News because Precept is easier to measure out and the measuring utensils are easier to clean than ordinary lecithin, as described in Food Ingredients News. In other words, the preparation process of Diamond would have been simpler with the lecithin of Food Ingredient News.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,576

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond in view of Food Ingredient News as applied to claims 1-6 and 8-16 above, and further in view of Crosby (4,961,951).

The claims appear to differ from Diamond in view of Food Ingredient News in the recitation of the inclusion of potassium sorbate, sodium benzoate and vitamin E in particular amounts. Crosby is relied upon to show advantages of preservatives and antioxidants at column 4, lines 48-68 and at the top of column 5. Levels of preservative, which are as high as 1 weight percent are disclosed as useful. Both sodium benzoate and potassium sorbate are disclosed as preservatives. Vitamin E is disclosed as an antioxidant. With the teachings of Crosby before him, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include potassium sorbate and sodium benzoate as preservatives and vitamin E as an antioxidant in the food spray of Diamond in order to preserve the pan spray and extend its shelf-life. It is appreciated that the amount of vitamin E is not mentioned but it would have been obvious to adjust the vitamin E concentration in Diamond according to the extent of preservation desired in the food product.

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,576 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761